

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ADAPTIX, INC.,)	Case No. 5:13-cv-01774-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ADAPTIX, INC.,)	Case No. 5:13-cv-01776-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
APPLE INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ADAPTIX, INC.,)	Case No. 5:13-cv-01777-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
APPLE INC., <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ADAPTIX, INC.,)	Case No. 5:13-cv-01844-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP <i>d/b/a</i>)	
VERIZON WIRELESS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	


ADAPTIX, INC.,)	Case No. 5:13-cv-02023-PSG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
APPLE INC., <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
Defendants.)	

1
2 The court has received word that Friday's hearing on the parties' various discovery disputes is
3 no longer required. As the court understands it, since the initial hearing request, the parties have
4 met on multiple occasions and hammered out a deal.

5 Based on this understanding, Friday's hearing is cancelled. In plenty of other cases, this
6 court has highlighted and criticized weak attempts to meet-and-confer on discovery, especially in
7 patent cases, the failure of which leave messes for the court to clean up. In this instance, however,
8 counsel have done precisely the opposite. It is a safe bet that no party got everything it wanted, or
9 even most of it. But such compromise speaks to good faith efforts on both sides. For that, the
10 court wishes to say thanks

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: March 26, 2014

14 

15
16 PAUL S. GREWAL
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28